Factors related to complementary feeding practices during the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia

Herwinda Kusuma Rahayu^{1*}, Yhona Paratmanitya¹, Herni Dwi Herawati¹, Eka Nurhayati² & Rindi Nuryani¹

¹Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universitas Alma Ata, Yogyakarta, Indonesia; ²Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universitas Alma Ata, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Complementary feeding practice is critical for adequate growth and development in children. Appropriate practices should be maintained, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. Methods: This cross-sectional study aimed to analyse complementary feeding practices and their related factors, including the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on household economic aspects. Data were collected via online questionnaires involving 574 mothers of children aged 6-23 months in Indonesia from April to May 2022. **Results:** In total, 63.6%, 64.6%, 86.6%, and 57.7% of children met the criteria for timely introduction of complementary feeding (INTRO), minimum dietary diversity (MDD), minimum meal frequency (MMF), and minimum acceptable diet (MAD), respectively. Households that had no impact on their ability to provide food during the pandemic had higher odds of meeting MDD and MAD. Having a high household income level, high maternal education, and being a housewife increased the odds of INTRO. The odds of MDD increased in children who lived in Java, had older age, and whose mother had high education level. Older children had higher odds of having appropriate MMF. MAD was associated with mother's high education level and being a housewife. Conclusion: Several factors during the pandemic, including economic aspects, influenced complementary feeding practices. To prevent child malnutrition, besides ensuring household food security, other strategies to increase complementary feeding quality are also needed.

Keywords: complementary feeding, COVID-19, minimum acceptable diet, minimum dietary diversity, minimum meal frequency

INTRODUCTION

In 2020, a global outbreak of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), impacted countries including Indonesia (Liu, Kuo & Shih, 2020; Nugraha *et al.*, 2020). To prevent transmission of the disease, the government imposed

physical restrictions on essential public places, including schools, workplaces, businesses and services, markets, and healthcare providers (WHO, 2020). Physical restrictions impacted the economic sector, which was depicted by the reduced number of working hours, the emergence of a work-fromhome system, and layoffs (Nicola et

Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universitas Alma Ata, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

doi: https://doi.org/10.31246/mjn-2023-0135

^{*}Corresponding author: Herwinda Kusuma Rahayu

al., 2020). These conditions may lower household economic status and food security. Additionally, several health-related services were halted, which could limit the public's access to health information (Pires et al., 2021). Due to such circumstances, people may be more susceptible to nutrition and health problems.

Children were one of the vulnerable groups affected during the COVID-19 pandemic, especially those in their first 1000 days of life. Currently, many malnutrition-related problems are still occurring in Indonesia, which include stunting, wasting, and underweight. Results of a survey prior to the pandemic in 2019 showed that the prevalence of stunting was 27.7%, which decreased to 24.4% in 2021; wasting decreased from 7.4% to 7.1%. However, the prevalence underweight increased 16.3% to 17.0% during the pandemic (National Institute of Health Research & Development, 2019; National Institute of Health Research & Development, 2021).

To ensure healthy growth and development of children aged 6-23 months, complementary feeding is given through a variety of nutritious foods introduced besides breast milk. guarantee that the nutritional needs of infants and young children are met, complementary foods must be provided timely, adequately, safely, and appropriately (Binns et al., 2020; UNICEF, 2020). To mirror the dietary quantity and quality of infants and young children, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) have proposed several infant and young child feeding (IYCF) indicators, including the introduction of complementary feeding (INTRO), minimum dietary diversity (MDD), minimum meal frequency (MMF), and minimum acceptable diet (MAD) (WHO & UNICEF, 2021). Nevertheless,

in Indonesia, complementary feeding requires optimisation. In the latest Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey, the proportion of MDD was reported to be 60%, MMF 72%, and MAD 40% (National Institute of Health Research & Development, 2018).

However, studies on the factors that influence complementary feeding practices in Indonesia during the COVID-19 pandemic lacking. are Therefore, this study aimed to analyse indicators of complementary feeding practices and their related factors in Indonesia, which will serve as preparation for another possible outbreak in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was an online cross-sectional survey that involved a convenience sample of participants. A validated, selfadministered online questionnaire was utilised to collect the data to be used for this study from April to May 2022, during which the COVID-19 pandemic took place. Data collection was carried during the implementation the physical restrictions policy government to prevent disease transmission, which included limiting access to essential public places, such as healthcare centres, workplaces, and markets. The following inclusion criteria were applied: living in Indonesia, able to complete the study questionnaire in the Indonesian language, have children aged 6-23 months, and could provide a consent form. In this study, 574 mothers of children aged 6-23 months in Indonesia were enrolled. An online questionnaire was distributed to several target populations in Indonesia via social media platforms, including Instagram, WhatsApp, Line, Facebook, and Twitter, Indonesian Breastfeeding Mothers' Association. This research was

ethically approved by the Institutional Review Board (KE/AA/VI/10832/EC/2022), which complied with the Helsinki Declaration. The participants, before giving their informed consent, received written explanations about the study. Before they participated in the study, all participants electronically signed an online informed consent form. The identities of the participants were anonymised.

Outcome measures

Complementary feeding practices were measured using four indicators that reflected the children's nutrition adequacy based on the child's age, including INTRO, MDD, MMF, and MAD (WHO & UNICEF, 2021). A validated, online structured questionnaire was developed to assess complementary feeding consumption in the past 24 hours. To measure dietary diversity, a list-based approach was employed. The definitions of each indicator based on the WHO recommendation of IYCF are as follows:

- INTRO: Children who start receiving solid, semi-solid, or soft foods at six months of age besides breast milk.
- MDD: Children who had at least five out of eight defined food group consumptions during the previous day. The eight food groups include breast milk; grains, roots, and tubers; pulses, nuts, and seeds; dairy products; eggs; flesh foods (meat, fish, poultry, and organ meats); and vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables.
- MMF: Children who had solid, semisolid, or soft foods with a minimum number of times during the previous day. The minimum number of times such as two times feeding of breastfed infants aged 6–8 months, three times feeding of breastfed children aged 9–23 months, and four times feeding of non-breastfed children aged 6–23 months.

 MAD: Children who received at least the MDD and MMF during the previous day.

Explanatory variables

The questionnaire utilised was collect information related to sociodemographic data and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on household economic aspects. Socio-demographic considered in this study variables were child, maternal, paternal, and characteristics. Child household characteristics included (6-11.age 18 - 23months) 12-17, and and gender (male and female). Maternal characteristics included age (18-25, 26-35, and 36–40 years), level of education (low if junior high school and below, middle if senior high school, and high if college or above), mother's occupation (housewife/unemployed, government employees, and private employees), type of occupation (housewife/unemployed, work from home, and work from office), and source of complementary feeding information (healthcare providers such paediatrician, nurse, nutritionist, midwife; mass media including television, print, radio, or social media; and friends or family members such as neighbours or parents). Paternal characteristics included the father's occupation (unemployed, government and private employees). employees, Household characteristics included place of residence (Java Island and outside Java Island), household income level (household income quintiles: poorest, poorer, middle, richer, and richest), and family size (large, 7-10 people; middle, 4-6 people; and small, 2-3 people). Variables that were related to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on household economic aspects included impacts on household income, household ability to provide food, mother's employment status, and father's employment status. All variables of household economic

Table 1. The distribution of complementary feeding practices and characteristics of study participants (n=574)

Variable	n	%
Child characteristic		
Age (months)		
6-11	235	40.9
12-17	236	41.1
18-23	103	18.0
Gender		
Female	287	50.0
Male	287	50.0
Maternal characteristic		
Age (years)		
18-25	179	31.2
26-35	351	61.1
36-40	44	7.7
Level of education		
High	12	2.1
Middle	381	62.9
Low	201	35.0
Mother's occupation		
No occupation/ housewife	350	61.0
Government employee	82	14.3
Private employee	142	24.7
Type of occupation		
Housewife/ Unemployed	350	61.0
Work from home	74	12.9
Work from office	150	26.1
Source of complementary feeding information		
Healthcare providers	168	29.3
Mass media	391	68.1
Friends or family members	15	2.6
Paternal characteristic		
Father's occupation		
Unemployed	3	0.6
Government employee	165	28.7
Private employee	406	70.7
Household characteristic		
Place of residence		
Java	403	70.2
Outside Java	171	29.8

Table 1. The distribution of complementary feeding practices and characteristics of study participants (*n*=574) [cont'd]

Variable	n	%
Household income level [†]		
Richest (IDR >5000.000)	99	17.3
Richer (IDR >3500.000-5000.000)	130	22.7
Middle (IDR >3000.000-3500.000)	34	5.9
Poorer (IDR >2000.000-3000.000)	169	29.4
Poorest (IDR ≤2000.000)	142	24.7
Family size		
Small (2-3 people)	45	7.8
Medium (4-6 people)	381	66.4
Large (7-10 people)	148	25.8
Complementary feeding indicators		
INTRO	365	63.6
MDD	371	64.6
MMF	497	86.6
MAD	331	57.7

INTRO: Timely introduction of complementary feeding; MDD: Minimum dietary diversity;

MMF: Minimum meal frequency; MAD: Minimum acceptable diet

aspects were data obtained from the participants in terms of their economic condition during the pandemic.

Statistical analysis

Pearson's Using chi-square test (p<0.05), a bivariate analysis of each complementary feeding indicator and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on household economic aspects was conducted. Variables with 95% confidence interval and a p-value of less than or equal to 0.2 during the bivariate analysis were entered into the multivariate logistic regression analysis order to determine the relative effect of confounding variables and the interactions of variables. All analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac version 26.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, New York, USA).

RESULTS

The proportions of complementary feeding practices and characteristics of study participants are shown in Table 1. The proportion of infants and young children who received timely introduction of solid, semi-solid, or soft foods was 63.6%. The percentages of infants and young children meeting the MDD, MMF, and MAD were 64.6%, 86.6%, and 57.7%, respectively. Most of the infants and young children were aged below 18 months (82%). More than half of the mothers were aged 26–35 years (61.1%), completed middle education (62.9%), and were housewives (61.0%). Most fathers worked as private employees (70.7%). Complementary feeding information were mostly obtained from mass media (68.1%). Our study participants were

^{†1} US dollar = IDR 14,340 IDR (as of April 20th, 2022)

mostly from outside Java Island (70.2%) and of medium-sized families (66.4%).

of multiple logistic The results regression of factors related complementary feeding indicators are presented in Table 2. Timely INTRO was not associated with any child factor. At the maternal level, compared with those with low education, mothers with high education levels were more likely to have children meeting timely introduction complementary foods (AOR=4.0;95%*CI*:1.0–15.4). Unemployed mothers had a 2.6 greater chance of feeding their children timely than those who worked the house (95%*CI*:1.1–6.0). outside Children from the richest households were more likely to meet timely INTRO than those from other household income 95%*CI*:1.2–8.9). groups (AOR=1.6;There was no association between living residency and economic aspects related to the COVID-19 pandemic in terms of timely INTRO.

Children aged 18-23 months had a 2.8 greater chance of meeting MDD than younger children (95%CI:1.0-7.2). There was a dose-response relationship between maternal education level and MDD. Mothers with high and middle education levels had a greater chance of feeding their children with diverse foods, with odds of 6.0 (95%CI:1.8-8.2) and 5.1 (95%CI:1.2-7.9), respectively. Children who lived on Java Island were more likely to eat a more diversified diet than those who lived outside Java Island (AOR=2.2; 95%CI:1.2-4.1). Households with increased income during the pandemic tended to meet the MDD (AOR=1.3;95%*CI*:1.1–3.9) compared to households with decreased income. Meanwhile, if the households were not impacted in their ability to provide food, the odds of meeting MDD increased 1.3 times (95%CI:1.1-3.8).

There were no associations between household, maternal, and paternal characteristics with MMF. MMF was only associated with a child's age. Children aged 12–17 months old tended to have appropriate meal frequency (*AOR*=2.0; 95%*CI*:1.3–2.9). The odds was 2.9 times higher in children aged 18–23 months (95%*CI*:1.7-4.9). Economic aspects related to the COVID-19 pandemic had no impact on MMF.

Mothers with high and middle education levels had a greater chance of meeting the MAD; the odds were 5.9 (95%CI:1.5-8.7) and 6.1 (95%CI:1.5-7.9), respectively. Mothers who were housewives were more likely to have appropriate MAD than mothers with informal work outside the home (AOR=2.1; 95%CI:1.0-4.1). Among all aspects related to the economic impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, only impact on household ability to provide food had a significant association with MAD (AOR=1.6, 95%CI:1.4-3.9).

Family size, source of complementary feeding information, child's gender, and father's occupation had no significant associations with complementary feeding indicators. Furthermore, the impact of COVID-19 on mother's and father's employment statuses was also not affected by complementary feeding practices.

DISCUSSION

Based on the results, household income during the COVID-19 pandemic and maternal education level impacted INTRO, MDD, and MAD. studies have also shown that household economic level predicts complementary feeding quality (Mitchodigni et al., 2017; Berbari et al., 2021). There was a decrease in support for proper IYCF practices, especially during the lockdown period, such as the ability to access healthcare service facilities to increase knowledge regarding nutrition and child health. In Indonesia, several health services related growth monitoring and nutrition

Table 2. Multilevel logistic regression: Factors related to complementary feeding practice indicators

Household characteristic Place of residence Java Outside Java Household income level Richest Richer 1.5 (0.6-4.0) Middle Poorer Poorer Family size Small COR (95% CJ) AOR (1.0.4) 1.10 (1.0.2.4)* 1.3 (0.0.4.0) 1.10 (1.0.3.9)* 1.5 (0.6-4.0) 1.10 (1.0.3.9)* 1.5 (0.6-4.0) 1.10 (1.0.3.9)* 1.5 (0.6-4.0) 1.10 (1.0.3.9)* 1.5 (0.6-1.1) Poorer 1 Family size Small O.7 (0.6-1.6) Medium O.9 (0.3-1.4) Large	AOR (95% CI)		(10 %) CI (10 CI)(10 CI (10 CI)(10 CI (10 CI				
1.6 (1.0-2.4)* 2.0 (1.0-3.9)* 1.5 (0.6-4.0) 1.8 (0.9-3.4) 0.9 (0.5-1.7) 1 0.7 (0.6-1.6) 0.9 (0.3-1.4) 1		COR (95% CI)	AUR (95% CI)	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Place of residence Java Outside Java Household income level Richest Richer Niddle Poorer Poorer Pamily size Small Medium O.9 (0.5-1.7) O.9 (0.6-1.6) Medium O.9 (0.3-1.4) Large							
Java Java Outside Java Household income level Richest 2.0 (1.0-3.9)* 1.6 (1 Richer 1.5 (0.6-4.0) 1.1(0 Middle Poorer Poorest Pamily size Small Medium 0.9 (0.3-1.4) Large							
Outside Java 1 Household income level Richest 2.0 (1.0-3.9)* 1.6 (1 Richer 1.5 (0.6-4.0) 1.1(0 Middle 1.8 (0.9-3.4) 0.3 (1) Poorer 0.9 (0.5-1.7) 0.9 (7 Pamily size 1 Ramily size 0.7 (0.6-1.6) Medium 0.9 (0.3-1.4) Large 1	1.3 (0.80-2.3)	3.2 (2.0-5.3)***	2.2 (1.2-4.1)*	1.1 (0.7-1.5)		$1.5(1.0-2.1)^*$	1.3 (0.9-2.0)
Household income level Richest 2.0 (1.0-3.9)* 1.6 (1 Richer 1.5 (0.6-4.0) 1.1(0 Middle 1.8 (0.9-3.4) 0.3 (1 Poorer 0.9 (0.5-1.7) 0.9 (7 Pamily size Small 0.7 (0.6-1.6) Medium 0.9 (0.3-1.4) Large	1	1	1	1		1	1
Richest 2.0 (1.0-3.9)* 1.6 (1 Richer 1.5 (0.6-4.0) 1.1(0 Middle 1.8 (0.9-3.4) 0.3 (1) Poorest 1 Family size Small 0.7 (0.6-1.6) Medium 0.9 (0.3-1.4) Large 1							
Richer 1.5 (0.6-4.0) 1.1(0 Middle 1.8 (0.9-3.4) 0.3 (1 Poorer 0.9 (0.5-1.7) 0.9 (6 Pamily size 1 Small 0.7 (0.6-1.6) Medium 0.9 (0.3-1.4) Large 1	1.6 (1.2-8.9)*	2.6 (1.3-5.2)**	1.9 (0.9-4.0)	0.6 (0.4-1.1)	0.9 (0.6-1.6)	0.9 (0.5-1.4)	
Middle 1.8 (0.9-3.4) 0.3 (1 Poorer 0.9 (0.5-1.7) 0.9 (C Pomest 1 Family size 0.7 (0.6-1.6) Medium 0.9 (0.3-1.4) Large 1	1.1(0.8-3.0)	1.5 (0.8-2.8)	1.2 (0.4-3.5)	1.0 (0.6-1.7)	0.9 (0.6-1.2)	1.0 (0.6-1.6)	
Poorer 0.9 (0.5-1.7) 0.9 (C Poorest 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.3 (1.2-4.6)	1.1 (0.4-2.9)	1.4 (0.7-3.0)	0.8 (0.4-1.7)	0.7 (0.3-1.1)	0.9 (0.4-1.8)	
Poorest 1 Family size Small 0.7 (0.6-1.6) Medium 0.9 (0.3-1.4) Large 1	0.9 (0.4-2.0)	1.7 (0.8-3.5)	1.5 (0.6-3.9)	0.9 (0.6-1.6)	0.9 (0.7-2.0)	1.3 (0.8-2.1)	
Family size Small Medium 0.7 (0.6-1.6) Medium 0.9 (0.3-1.4) Large	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Small 0.7 (0.6-1.6) Medium 0.9 (0.3-1.4) Large 1							
Medium 0.9 (0.3-1.4) Large 1		1.1 (0.4-2.7)		1.2 (0.8-1.8)		1.3 (0.9-3.2)	0.7 (0.3-1.3)
Large 1		0.8 (0.3-2.2)		1.3 (0.7-2.5)		1.5 (0.8-2.8)	0.9 (0.4-1.8)
Carried of commodents fooding information		1		1		1	1
Source of complementary feeding information	ü						
Healthcare providers 2.4 (0.8-7.5) 1.8 (C	1.8 (0.5-6.2)	3.0 (0.9-10.5)	3.1 (0.7-12.9)	0.6 (0.2-1.8)		1.1 (0.7-2.8)	
Mass media 2.1 (0.7-6.3) 1.8 (C	1.8 (0.5-5.9)	2.2 (0.7-7.2)	3.0 (0.7-12.1)	1.1 (0.4-3.4)		1.8 (0.4-3.2)	
Friends or family nembers	1	1	-	-		П	
Child characteristic							
Age (months)							
18-23 0.4 (0.4-1.4)		3.0 (1.2-7.4)*	2.8 (1.0-7.2)*	3.0 (1.7-5.0)***	2.9 (1.7-5.0)***	3.0 (1.8-4.9)***	1.1 (0.4-3.5)
12-17 1.0 (0.6-1.9)		1.1 (0.7-1.8)	2.2 (0.8-5.8)	1.9 (1.3-2.8)**	2.0 (1.3-2.9)**	1.8 (1.2-2.6)**	1.9 (0.6-6.0)
6-11 1		1	1	1	1	1	1
Gender							
Male 1.2 (0.8-1.8)		0.9 (0.6-1.6)		1.0 (0.7-1.4)		1.0 (0.7-1.4)	
Female 1		1		1		1	

1	$\overline{}$	•
	Ų	
•	⇉	
	۲ij	
	Ö	
,	$\underline{\circ}$	
	_	
	çı	
	ㅈ	
	⋍	
	ď	
	$\ddot{\circ}$	
:	Ξ	
	\simeq	
	딛	
•	_	
	ب	
	$_{2}$	
	₽	
	ပ္	
	σ	
	≒	
	~	
	α	
	◩	
:	Ξ	
•	بر	
	ň	
·	Ŧ	
	, -1 L	
	2	
	굮	
	۳	
	d	
	₽	
	ð	
	듯	
_	<u>ല</u>	
•	o	
	ᆵ	
	Ē	
	ö	
	0	
	0	
٠	4	
	٦	
	ŏ	
	₽	
	ᠬ	
	٠.	
٠	ä	
٠	reg	
•	rel	
•	rs rela	
•	ors rela	
•	tors rela	
•	ctors rela	
•	actors rela	
	Factors rela	
	: Factors rela	
	n: Factors rela	
	on: Factors rela	
	non: Factors rel	
	ssion: Factors rela	
	ession: Factors rela	
	ression: Factors rela	
	gression: Factors rela	
	egression: Factors rela	
	regression: Factors rela	
	c regression: Factors rela	
	nc regression: Factors rela	
	stic regression: Factors rela	
	ustic regression: Factors rela	
	ogistic regression: Factors rela	
	logistic regression: Factors rela	
	l logistic regression: Factors rela	
	el logistic regression: Factors rela	
	vel logistic regression: Factors rela	
	evel logistic regression: Factors rela	
	ilevel logistic regression: Factors rela	
	Itilevel logistic regression: Factors rela	
	ultilevel logistic regression: Factors rela	
	Aultilevel logistic regression: Factors rela	
	Multilevel logistic regression: Factors rela	
	. Multilevel logistic regression: Factors rela	
	2. Multilevel logistic regression: Factors rela	
	2. Multilevel logistic	
	Table 2. Multilevel logistic regression: Factors rela	

V Translation	INTRO	RO	MDD	Q	MMF	ΙF	M	MAD
Variables	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Maternal characteristic								
Age (years)								
36-40	1.5 (0.8-1.8)		0.7 (0.3-1.6)	0.5(0.2-1.4)	1.3 (0.7-2.7)		1.2 (0.6-2.2)	
26-35	1.4 (0.81-1.9)		$1.8 (1.1-3.1)^*$	2.6 (0.9-6.7)	1.2 (0.8-1.7)		1.1 (0.4-1.6)	
18-25	1		1	1	1		П	
Level of education								
High	0.3 (0.1-1.1)	4.0 (1.0-15.4)*	6.4 (2.2-23.3)**	6.0 (1.8-8.2)**	1.2 (0.9-1.7)		1.2 (0.9-1.8)	5.9 (1.5-8.7)*
Middle	0.9 (0.6-1.5)	1.5 (0.5-5.4)	7.2 (2.2-23.3)***	5.1 (1.2-7.9)*	1.2 (0.4-4.3)		0.3 (0.1-1.1)	6.1 (1.5-7.9)*
Low	1	1	1	1	1		1	1
Occupation								
Unemployed	1.9 (1.2-3.0)**		1.8 (0.8-4.1)	2.2 (0.8-6.4)	1.2 (0.8-1.8)		1.5 (1.0-2.2)*	1.9 (1.8-3.2)*
Private employee	0.9 (0.5-1.9)	0.9 (0.9-3.6)	1.7 (0.9-2.9)	1.8 (0.9-3.7)	0.8 (0.5-1.4)		0.9 (0.5-1.7)	2.0 (1.2-3.4)
Government								
employee	1	1	1	1	1		П	1
Type of occupation								
Housewife	2.4 (1.5-3.8)***	2.6 (1.1-6.0)*	1.7 (0.9-2.8)	1.9 (0.7-5.0)	1.5 (0.9-2.2)	1.4 (0.8-2.6)	1.9 (1.3-2.8)**	2.1 (1.0-4.1)*
Work from Home	2.5 (1.2-5.1)*	1.0 (0.3-2.9)	1.6 (0.7-3.6)	2.3 (0.1-3.1)	1.5 (0.8-2.7)	1.3 (0.8-1.9)	1.9 (1.1-3.4)*	1.2 (0.8-4.2)
Work from Office	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Paternal characteristic								
Occupation								
Unemployed	3.6 (0.6-22.5)	1.3 (0.1-16.9)	0.7 (0.1-6.2)	1.6 (0.8-3.2)	2.6 (0.4-15.8)		1.9 (0.3-12.2)	
Private employee	2.6 (0.4-15.9)	2.1 (0.1-11.8)	1.4 (0.8-2.5)	3.4 (0.2-4.4)	2.9 (0.5-12.3)		2.1 (0.3-12.6)	
Government employee	1	1	1	1	1		1	

 Table 2.
 Multilevel logistic regression: Factors related to complementary feeding practice indicators (cont'd)

riables —	INTRO	0	ממאו		The state of the s	AP.	MAD	C
	,		TWI.	٥	VIM	TL		2
Impact of COVID-19	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	COR (95% CI) AOR (95% CI) COR (95% CI) AOR (95% CI) COR (95% CI) AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	COR (95% CI) AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
pandemic								
Impact on household income	ıme							
Increased income 4.	4.0 (1.5-11.1)**	0.6 (0.3-1.2)	2.7 (1.9-7.9)* 1.3 (1.1-3.9)*	1.3 (1.1-3.9)*	1.0 (0.4-2.8)		0.6 (0.2-1.6)	
No change in income 5.	5.9 (2.2-16.1)***	2.1 (0.1-4.4)	4.6 (1.6-13.0)**	2.2 (0.9-5.5)	1.0 (0.7-1.5)		0.8 (0.6-1.2)	
Decreased income	1	1	1	1			1	
Impact on household ability to provide food	y to provide foo	þ						
Not impacted	1.3 (0.8-2.1) 1.1 (0.5-2.3)	1.1 (0.5-2.3)	2.9 (1.8-4.7)*** 1.3 (1.1-3.8)**	$1.3 (1.1-3.8)^{**}$	1.3 (0.9-1.9)	0.9 (0.6-1.4)	2.0 (1.4-2.9)*** 1.6 (1.4-3.9)**	1.6 (1.4-3.9)**
Impacted	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Impact on mother's employment status	ment status							
Not impacted	0.8 (0.6-1.3)		2.1 (1.2-3.5)**	1.1 (0.4-1.5)	1.1 (0.8-1.5)		1.2 (0.9-1.7)	
Impacted	1		1	1	1		1	
Impact on father's employment status	nent status							
Not impacted	1.4 (0.9-2.2)	1.1 (0.6-2.2)	$1.1 (0.6-2.2)$ $2.5 (1.5-4.2)^{***}$ $1.6 (0.3-1.7)$	1.6 (0.3-1.7)	1.2 (0.8-1.8)		1.2 (0.8-1.8)	
Impacted	1	1	1	1	1		1	

INTRO: Timely introduction of complementary feeding; MDD: Minimum dietary diversity; MMF: Minimum meal frequency; MAD: Minimum acceptable diet; *statistically significant at p<0.05, **significant at p<0.01, *** significant at p<0.001 COR=Crude Odds Ratio; AOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI=Confidence Interval

counselling for children were also limited; many respondents low-income households experienced difficulties in healthcare accessing service facilities. Results further show that household economic levels affect healthcare access (Filha et al., 2022). High-income households can use professional expert guides related to first INTRO; while low-income households tend to receive knowledge only from their parents, friends, or family (Abate, Hassen, & Temesgen, 2023). Our results indicated that the richest households had 1.6 times greater odds of meeting the INTRO indicator.

education Maternal level with associated most was complementary indicators, including INTRO, MDD, and MAD. High maternal education level has a positive impact on healthseeking behaviours (Khasanah et al., 2023; Yugistyowati & Marza, 2018). Those with high levels of education and household income to use webinars/expert recommendations, internet/ applications, professional experts. other telehealth and services their to increase (Nurhavati knowledge al., 2023; Thomson et al., 2021). High awareness and understanding of the benefits and quality of complementary feeding practices will protect mothers and children against external interference and pressure from the environment or family concerning food taboos (Andualem et al., 2020). Most respondents in this study obtained information regarding complementary feeding during the pandemic via social media. The Indonesian government has since optimised its social media platforms for health promotion during the pandemic.

Furthermore, the lockdown period during the COVID-19 pandemic has had a negative impact on the food supply chain (Bustos-Arriagada et al., 2022). Due to the high cost of food caused by this condition, dietary preferences changed, especially in households with middle to lower economic status. People tended to choose foods with low prices per calorie and generally nonperishable foods, including starchy food groups (Laborde et al., 2021). Household income level determines food availability and food insecurity. Food insecurity has led people to consume low diversity diets (Nofitasari et al., 2023; Sidebottom et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2020).

A child's dietary diversity is also significantly increased by a mother's education. High maternal education levels tend to open up more employment opportunities and higher economic status than low maternal education levels. A higher economic level will increase food purchasing power and the ability to provide a variety of foods for children (Tegegne et al., 2017). Meanwhile, of all complementary feeding indicators, MMF had no significant relationship with household income levels during the COVID-19 pandemic. Previous studies also had the same result (Mitchodigni et al., 2017). Household income was an insignificant predictor of MMF, possibly because meeting MMF is less resourcedependent.

The place of residence differed in relation to MDD compliance. The COVID-19 pandemic reduced the amount of food that was distributed in markets, which had a major impact on rural areas. Poor food access in rural areas reduced food diversity. Food groups that were most limited included dairy products and several types of animal-source foods (Sidebottom *et al.*, 2022). Furthermore,

numerous foods were imported from the urban area. During the pandemic, numerous areas in Java (urban areas in Indonesia) had a high incidence of COVID-19 and social restrictions or lockdowns had to be implemented. This condition caused interruptions in the food chain. However, the emergence of food ordering and delivery services or online grocery shopping became a viable solution to maintain a stable food supply and access to adequate food during the COVID-19 pandemic because it can maintain dietary diversity and has the potential to reduce the spread of the disease through physical distancing (Zhao et al., 2020). In Java, many online food delivery services were available, which might have increased dietary complementary diversity of feeding compared to outside Java Island, where not all regions had these services.

Mother's occupation has а relationship with INTRO and MAD. To protect their children from COVID-19, more housewives breastfed their infants more frequently during the pandemic, which allowed for timely INTRO (Holand et al., 2022). Housewives have enough time to practise exclusive breastfeeding for 6 months compared to working mothers, whose time is spent mostly outside (Tušl et al., 2021). Women are a vulnerable group to likely experience high levels of depressive symptoms and anxiety related to breastfeeding during the COVID-19 pandemic, especially working mothers (Lauzon-Guillain et al., 2019). Due to work pressure, working mothers may not have enough time to pay attention to their children. As demonstrated in this study, compared with housewives, lower MAD was found among children with working mothers.

In this study, the age of the child was associated with MMF and MDD. Appropriate complementary feeding practices increase as the child gets older. As children get older, the frequency of eating increases and the types of foods that are introduced to them become more diverse (Tegegne *et al.*, 2017).

Due to physical restrictions during the pandemic, we employed selfadministered online questionnaires, which is a limitation of this study. Given the diversity of the Indonesian region, our sample may not have been as representative. The only mothers included in this study were those who had access to the internet and completed the online survey.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, complementary feeding indicators were during the pandemic. To prevent child malnutrition, strategies to increase complementary feeding quality needed. The economic aspect is one of the factors in fulfilling indicators for appropriate complementary feeding practices. During the pandemic, the government must ensure household food security issues, such as a safety net. Furthermore, mothers' knowledge on the importance of appropriate complementary feeding practices must be enhanced.

Acknowledgement

We would like to express our gratitude to the enumerators and AIMI (Asosiasi Ibu Menyusui Indonesia) for their assistance to distribute survey link all around Indonesia.

Authors' contributions

Rahayu HK, principal investigator, conceptualised and designed the study, data analysis and interpretation, prepared the draft of the manuscript, and reviewed the manuscript; Paratmanitya Y, conceptualised and designed the study, led the data collection, and reviewed the manuscript; Herawati HD, led the data collection and reviewed the manuscript; Nurhayati E, advised on data analysis and interpretation, and reviewed the manuscript; Nuryani R, led the data collection.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

Abate AD, Hassen SL & Temesgen MM (2023). Timely initiation of complementary feeding practices and associated factors among children aged 6–23 months in Dessie Zuria District, Northeast Ethiopia: A community-based cross-sectional study. Front Pediatr 11:1062251.

Andualem A, Edmealem A, Tegegne B, Tilahun L & Damtie Y (2020). Timely Initiation of Complementary Feeding and Associated Factors among Mothers of Children Aged 6–24 Months in Dessie Referral Hospital, Northeast Ethiopia, 2019. *J Nutr Metab* 2020:1–7.

Berbari LS, Tyler VQ, Akik C, Jamaluddine Z & Ghattas H (2021). Predictors of complementary feeding practices among children aged 6–23 months in five countries in the Middle East and North Africa region. *Matern Child Nutr* 17(4):e13223.

Binns C, Lee MK, Low WY, Baker P, Bulgiba A, Dahlui M, Duong DTT, Guldan G, Hairi N, Hokama T, Kagawa M, Karunathilake I, Majid HA, Maycock B, Nanishi K, Qiu L, Raheem RA, Scott J & Tang L (2020). Guidelines for Complementary Feeding of Infants in the Asia Pacific Region: APACPH Public Health Nutrition Group. Asia Pac J Public Health 32(4):179-187.

Bustos-Arriagada E, Armijo KE, Ortiz ÁL & Silva LD (2022). Breastfeeding, Complementary Feeding, Physical Activity, Screen Use, and Hours of Sleep in Children under 2 Years during Lockdown by the COVID-19 Pandemic in Chile. *Children* 9(6):819.

Filha NTS, Li J, Howard, PAP, Quayyum Z, Kibuchi E, Mithu MIH, Vidyasagaran A, Sai V, Manzoor F, Karuga R, Awal A, Chumo I, Rao V, Mberu B, Smith J, Saidu S, Tolhurst R, Mazumdar S, Rosu L, Garimella S & Elsey H (2022). The economics of healthcare access: A scoping review on the economic impact of healthcare access for vulnerable urban populations in low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Equity Health* 21(1):191

Holand BL, Agostini CO, Pacheco MCM, Leon DMZ, Drehmer M & Bosa VL (2022). Association between breastfeeding and complementary feeding in pre-pandemic and pandemic COVID-19 times: Maternar cohort study. *Jornal de Pediatria* 98(5):496–503.

Khasanah U, Efendi F, Has EMM, Adnani QES, Ramadhan K, Arna YD & Almutairi WM (2023). Healthcare-seeking behavior for children aged 0–59 months: Evidence from 2002–2017 Indonesia Demographic and Health Surveys. *PLOS ONE* 18(2):e0281543.

- Laborde D, Herforth A, Headey D & Pee S (2021). COVID-19 pandemic leads to greater depth of unaffordability of healthy and nutrient-adequate diets in low- and middle-income countries. *Nat Food* 2(7):473–475.
- Lauzon-Guillain B, Thierry X, Bois C, Bournez M, Paturet CD, Dufourg M, Kersuzan C, Ksiazek E, Nicklaus S, Vicaire H, Wagner S, Lioret S & Charles MA (2019). Maternity or parental leave and breastfeeding duration: Results from the ELFE cohort. *Matern Child Nutr* 15(4):e12872.
- Liu YC, Kuo RL & Shih SR (2020). COVID-19: The first documented coronavirus pandemic in history. *Biomed J* 43(4): 328–333.
- Mitchodigni IM, Hounkpatin WA, Bouzitou GN, Avohou H, Termote C, Kennedy G & Hounhouigan DJ (2017). Complementary feeding practices: Determinants of dietary diversity and meal frequency among children aged 6–23 months in Southern Benin. Food Secur 9(5):1117–1130.
- National Institute of Health Research & Development (2018). Report of the 2018 Basic Health Research (Riskesdas). http://labdata.litbang.kemkes.go.id/images/download/laporan/RKD/2018/Laporan_Nasional_RKD2018_FINAL.pdf [Retrieved October 10 2023].
- National Institute of Health Research & Development (2019). Buku Saku Hasil Studi Status Gizi Indonesia (SSGI) Tingkat Nasional, Provinsi, dan Kabupaten/Kota Tahun 2021. https://www.badankebijakan.kemkes.go.id/buku-saku-hasil-studi-status-gizi-indonesia-ssgi-tahun-2021/ [Retrieved October 9 2023].
- National Institute of Health Research & Development (2021). *Indonesia Nutrition Status Survey (SSGI) 2021*. https://satudata.dinkes.riau.go.id/sites/default/files/SSGI%202019-SDSG%202020.pdf [Retrieved October 9 2023].
- Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, Agha M & Agha R (2020). The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. *Int J Surg* 78:185-193.
- Nofitasari T, Rahmawati NI, Nurhayati E, Fatimah F, Siswati T, Paramashanti BA (2023) Household socioeconomic factors and minimum dietary diversity among infants and young children in kebumen district of indonesia. *Indones J Nutr Diet* 11(2):94-103.

- Nugraha B, Wahyuni LK, Laswati H, Kusumastuti P, Tulaar ABM & Gutenbrunner C (2020). COVID-19 Pandemic in Indonesia: Situation and Challenges of Rehabilitation Medicine in Indonesia. Acta Med Indones 52(3):299-305.
- Nurhayati E, Hapsari ED, Rosyidah R, & Helmyati S (2023). Educational models of infant and young child feeding among prenatal and postnatal women during the COVID-19 pandemic (January 2020–January 2023): A scoping review. *Nutrition* 115:112150.
- Pires PH, Macaringue C, Abdirazak A, Mucufo JR, Mupueleque MA, Zakus D, Siemens R & Belo CF (2021). Covid-19 pandemic impact on maternal and child health services access in Nampula, Mozambique: A mixed methods research. *BMC Health Ser Res* 21(1):860.
- Sidebottom R, Wassie SB, Cerami C, Jallow MW, Fennell S & Dalzell S (2022). A longitudinal investigation of dietary diversity during the COVID-19 pandemic in Mandinka households in Kanifing, Brikama, and the West Kiang region in The Gambia. Front Nutr 9:907969.
- Tegegne M, Sileshi S, Benti T, Teshome M & Woldie H (2017). Factors associated with minimal meal frequency and dietary diversity practices among infants and young children in the predominantly agrarian society of Bale zone, Southeast Ethiopia: A community based cross sectional study. *Arch Pub Health* 75(1):53.
- Thomson MD, Mariani AC, Williams AR, Sutton AL & Sheppard VB (2021). Factors associated with use of and satisfaction with telehealth by adults in rural Virginia during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open* 4(8):e2119530.
- Tušl M, Brauchli R, Kerksieck P & Bauer GF (2021). Impact of the COVID-19 crisis on work and private life, mental well-being and self-rated health in German and Swiss employees: A cross-sectional online survey. *BMC Public Health* 21(1):741.
- UNICEF (2020). Improving Young Children's Diets During the Complementary Feeding Period. UNICEF Programming Guidance. UNICEF. From https://www.unicef.org/media/93981/file/Complementary-Feeding-Guidance-2020.pdf [Retrieved October 9 2023].
- WHO & UNICEF (2021). Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices. World Health Organization, Geneva.

- WHO (2020). Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) when COVID-19 disease is suspected. World Health Organization. From https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/clinical-management-of-novel-cov.pdf [Retrieved October 10 2023].
- Yugistyowati A & Marza M (2018). Maternal level of education, maternal type of occupation, and the provision of complementary feeding. *Indones J Nutr Diet* 5(3):106-112.
- Zhao A, Li Z, Ke Y, Huo S, Ma Y, Zhang Y, Zhang J & Ren Z (2020). Dietary Diversity among Chinese Residents during the COVID-19 Outbreak and Its Associated Factors. *Nutrients* 12(6):1699.